

STATE OF WISCONSIN

WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF:  
NOMINATION PAPERS FILED BY KANYE WEST  
WITH RESPECT TO THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020  
ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

WILLIAM BRENT III  
4270 N. 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53216

RICHARD C. HUGHES  
1130 N. Westfield Street  
Oshkosh, WI 54902

KEITH SMITH  
2121 N. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Apt. 320  
Milwaukee, WI 53212

LAUREN STEVEN  
4373 N. 16<sup>th</sup> Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53209

Complainant,

v.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

KANYE WEST  
3202 Big Horn Avenue  
Cody, Wyoming 82414

MICHELLE TIDBALL  
907 Glade Court  
Cody, WY 82414,

Respondents.

---

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

---

This Complaint is made pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 5.06(1) and other laws applicable to elections and election campaigns.

1. Complainant William Brent III is a Wisconsin elector residing at 4270 N. 40<sup>th</sup> Street, Milwaukee, WI 53216.

2. Complainant Richard C. Hughes is a Wisconsin elector residing at 1130 N. Westfield Street, Oshkosh, WI 54902.

3. Complainant Keith Smith is a Wisconsin elector residing at 2121 N. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Apt. 320, Milwaukee, WI 53212.

4. Complainant Lauren Steven is a Wisconsin elector residing at 4373 N. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Milwaukee, WI 53209.

5. Upon information and belief, Respondent Kanye West filed, or caused to be filed on his behalf, nomination papers with the Wisconsin Elections Commission on August 4, 2020 to secure a spot on the ballot for President of the United States in the November 3, 2020 election.

6. Upon information and belief, Respondent Michelle Tidball filed, or caused to be filed on her behalf, nomination papers with the Wisconsin Elections Commission on August 4, 2020 to secure a spot on the ballot for Vice President of the United States in the November 3, 2020 election.

7. Upon information and belief, the nomination papers filed on behalf of Mr. West and Ms. Tidball's candidacies were allegedly circulated for signatures between August 3, 2020 and August 4, 2020.

8. Upon information and belief, Respondents submitted two-thousand four-hundred and twenty-two (2,422) signatures to the Wisconsin Elections Commission.

9. Upon information and belief, Respondents submitted nomination papers including

additional signatures that were crossed out or otherwise not countable.

10. Under Wis. Stat. § 8.20(4), Respondents were required to submit valid signatures of “not less than 2,000 nor more than 4,000 electors” to qualify Mr. West and Ms. Tidball for the ballot as independent candidates for President of the United States and Vice President of the United States, respectively.

11. Each of Mr. West and Ms. Tidball as a “candidate for public office has the responsibility to assure that his or her nomination papers are prepared, circulated, signed, and filed in compliance with statutory and other legal requirements.” Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.05(1).

12. The Wisconsin Elections Commission has jurisdiction over this Complaint as provided in Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.07.

### **ARGUMENT**

13. The nomination papers filed by Respondents are legally insufficient, such that Mr. West and Ms. Tidball should not be included on the ballot in Wisconsin for the November 3, 2020 election. This is true for several reasons, most of which are independently sufficient to preclude Mr. West and Ms. Tidball from the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election. Taken together, these reasons definitively establish that the nomination papers submitted by Respondents are legally insufficient to qualify Mr. West and Ms. Tidball for inclusion on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election.

14. *First*, upon information and belief the nomination papers and declaration of candidacy submitted by Respondents were not timely filed. The deadline for submission of the nomination papers and declaration of candidacy submitted by Respondents is clearly established by Wisconsin law as not later than 5:00 p.m. on the first Tuesday in August. The papers submitted by Respondents were not provided to the Wisconsin Elections Commission until after 5:00 pm on

that date. The deadline is clear and immovable. Wisconsin law requires it be enforced. The nomination papers and declaration of candidacy submitted by Respondents must, therefore, as a matter of law be rejected in their entirety.

15. *Second*, upon information and belief, multiple circulators who collected signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents misrepresented to signatories the nature, meaning, and purpose of the nomination papers. This conduct by the circulators renders false the certification those circulators signed and attested to on the nomination papers signed by electors who did not “sign[] the paper with full knowledge of its content.” Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4). Any circulator who deliberately misled electors, obtained signatures on nomination papers improperly, and signed a false certification has violated Wisconsin law. It follows that all nomination pages signed by such a circulator must be invalidated in their entirety.

16. *Third*, upon information and belief, multiple circulators who collected signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents have not provided their correct residential address as part of the certification those circulators signed and attested to on the nomination papers. All nomination pages on which a circulator has falsely certified their address must be invalidated in their entirety.

17. *Fourth*, upon information and belief, many signatories on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents provided an address other than the address at which they are registered to vote. Any signature that is accompanied by an address that is not the elector’s residence should be stricken.

18. *Fifth*, upon information and belief, many signatories on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents have failed to provide the necessary and required information to identify the elector who signed the nomination papers. 187 signatures are not accompanied by a printed

name that is legible; 65 signatures are not accompanied by the elector's municipality; 47 signatures are not accompanied by a full date. All of these signatures must be stricken.

19. *Sixth*, upon information and belief, several signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents are otherwise patently invalid and must be stricken. This includes signatures for electors who signed the nomination papers more than once and those for electors who signed using fake names. These signatures, too, must be stricken.

20. These arguments are developed and supported in more detail below.

**I. The Nomination Papers and Declaration of Candidacy Filed by Respondents Are Untimely and Must Be Rejected.**

21. The Wisconsin Elections Commission cannot place a candidate's name on the ballot if the candidate fails to timely file a declaration of candidacy and/or fails to file the required number of valid elector signatures nominating that candidate for the office he or she seeks. Wis. Stat. § 8.30(1), (4); Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.05(6).

22. State statutes provide that nomination papers for independent candidates for president must be filed not later than 5:00 p.m. on the first Tuesday in August preceding the presidential election and that declarations of candidacy must be filed no later than the latest time provided for filing nomination papers. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.20(8)(am), 8.21(1).

23. The Wisconsin Administrative Code further provides that, "[i]n order to be timely filed, all nomination papers shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer by the statutory deadline." Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.05(2). "Nomination papers ... shall not be considered filed with the filing officer until the signed original of each nomination paper ... [is] received in the offices of the filing officer." Wis. Admin. Code § EL 6.04(2).

24. Wisconsin Courts require that these filing deadlines be strictly observed.

25. In *State ex rel. Conlin v. Zimmerman*, 245 Wis. 475, 478, 15 N.W.2d 32 (1944), the

Wisconsin Supreme Court held that a prospective gubernatorial candidate who tendered his nomination papers for filing two hours after the filing deadline was properly denied a place on the ballot. Because the candidate “failed to tender his nomination papers for filing before 5 P.M. on” the deadline date, “his tender came too late and the Secretary of State correctly refused to accept them.” *Id.*

26. In *State ex rel. Stearns v. Zimmerman*, 257 Wis. 443, 444, 43 N.W.2d 681 (1950), a prospective candidate for the United States Senate entered the filing office at 5:01 and presented his nomination papers to the secretary before 5:02. However, the Wisconsin Supreme Court held that the prospective candidate failed to meet the statutory deadline and was, therefore, properly denied a place on the ballot. *Id.* at 446. The Court reiterated that “the time limit set by the legislature for the filing of nomination papers must be strictly observed.” *Id.* at 445. The Court held that “if the candidate or his representative fails, as here, to reach the office until later than the time specified the tender comes too late.” *Id.* In that situation, the “nomination papers were correctly ejected as not being filed within the time designated by the statute.” *Id.*

27. In *State ex rel. Ahlgrimm v. State Elections Board*, 82 Wis. 2d 585, 592, 263 N.W.2d 152 (1978) (per curiam), the Wisconsin Supreme Court held that, where “nomination papers are not timely filed, the proposed candidate is not entitled to have his name on the ballot.” In that case, a judicial candidate initially filed his nomination papers in the wrong place, submitting them to the county clerk rather than the State Elections Board, and, by the time he recognized and sought to correct his error, 17 days had passed since the filing deadline. *See id.* at 587-89. The candidate argued that, because he had substantially complied and was the only candidate who submitted nominating papers, he should be included on the ballot. The Supreme Court disagreed, finding the statutes governing the time and place of filing nomination papers “to be mandatory,”

such that “[f]ailure to timely file the papers in the proper place prevents the candidate’s name from being placed on the ballot.” *Id.* at 596. The Court went on to note that the statutory scheme “does not ... permit the [Elections] Board to ignore untimely or improper filing of [nomination] papers.” *Id.* Accordingly, the Court held, “regulations governing the time and place of filing nomination paper must be strictly enforced,” and, where a candidate fails to meet those regulations, “his name cannot appear on the ballot” no matter how “unfortunate and regrettable [] this result might be.” *Id.* at 597.

28. These cases remain good law. Accordingly, any candidate who misses the statutory filing deadline—by minutes, hours, or days—must be excluded from the ballot.

29. Under Wisconsin law, in order to be timely filed, the nomination papers and declaration of candidacy submitted by Respondents were required to be in the physical possession of the Wisconsin Elections Commission by 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, August 4, 2020. Wis. Stat. § 8.20(8)(am); Wis. Admin. Code §§ EL 2.05(2), EL 6.04(2).

30. The nomination papers and declaration of candidacy submitted by Respondents were tendered for filing with the Wisconsin Elections Commission on Tuesday, August 4, 2020. However, like the candidate in *Stearns*, Respondents’ representative entered the filing office after 5:00 p.m. (Aff. of Devin Remiker, ¶¶ 10-18.)

31. As the Wisconsin Supreme Court has repeatedly held, “regulations governing the time and place of filing nomination paper must be strictly enforced.” *Ahlgrimm*, 82 Wis. 2d at 597. There is no discretion with respect to this deadline, which “must be strictly observed.” *Stearns*, 257 Wis. at 445. Even one minute late is untimely. See *id.*

32. The nomination papers and declaration of candidacy submitted by Respondents were filed after the deadline. It follows that the Wisconsin Elections Commission has a legal

obligation to reject those filings and exclude Mr. West and Ms. Tidball from the November 3, 2020, general election ballot.

**II. Invalid Circulator Certifications Require Striking 1517 Signatures from the Nomination Papers Submitted by Respondents.**

33. Circulator certifications on several pages of the nomination papers submitted by Respondents are false. Nomination papers with improper circulator certifications must be stricken in their entirety. Striking those pages with false circulator certifications reduces the total number of elector signatures submitted by Respondents by 1517.

**A. Several of Respondents' circulators misrepresented the nature and content of the nominating papers to induce electors to sign those nominating papers.**

34. Multiple circulators who collected signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents misrepresented to signatories the nature, meaning, and purpose of the nomination papers.

35. For example, circulator Kim Shanklin certified the nomination page signed by Trais Haire. (Exh A page 327 line 8.) Consistent with Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4) (as incorporated here by Wis. Stat. § 8.20(3W)), the circulator certified that all electors who signed that page of the nomination papers "signed the paper with full knowledge of its content."

36. Trais Haire did not have "full knowledge" of what the nomination papers were or what they sought to accomplish. (Aff. of Trais Haire.) Trais Haire does not want Mr. West and Ms. Tidball to appear on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election. (*Id.*) Trais Haire did not know that signing the nomination papers would support placing Mr. West and Ms. Tidball on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election. (*Id.*) Trais Haire signed the nomination papers submitted by Respondents only because Kim Shanklin told Trais Haire that signing the nomination papers was to support increasing minority representation, not to provide for Mr. West and Ms. Tidball to appear on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election. (*Id.*)



37. Trais Haire's affidavit demonstrates that Kim Shanklin affirmatively misrepresented the contents of the nomination papers in collecting elector signatures. Absent such affirmative misrepresentation, Traise Haire would not have signed the nomination papers submitted by Respondents. (Aff. of Trais Haire.)

38. Kim Shanklin's affirmative misrepresentation means that Kim Shanklin deliberately misled electors, obtained signatures on nomination papers improperly, and signed a false certification. In doing so, Kim Shanklin has violated Wisconsin law.

39. Kim Shanklin's violation of Wisconsin law in providing an improper circulator certification renders all signatures on that page of the nominating papers invalid. Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4)(a); Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.05(14).

40. Kim Shanklin circulated 22 pages of the nominating papers submitted by Respondents. Kim Shanklin's false witness certification necessarily invalidates all of those pages. Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4)(a); Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.05(14). Those pages contain 205 elector signatures, all of which must be disregarded.

41. Kim Shanklin is not the only circulator of the nomination papers submitted by Respondents who misrepresented the content, nature, and purpose of those papers. (*See* Aff. of Derek A. Jeter; Aff. of Ora Brown; Aff. of Virginia McCorty; Aff. of Jerry Lewis; Aff. of Hazel Lindsey; Aff. of Tobisha Lyones.) Additional circulators who did so—including Mario Coleman, Chawana H, Micah Marshbanks, Darius Fletcher, Ernest Buggest, Jermaine Crouch, Ernest Johnson, S.H. Brinkman, Keith Young, Jake Thomas, and Benjamin Rush, Jr. —circulated 103 pages of the nominating papers submitted by Respondents. These additional false witness certifications necessarily invalidate all of those pages. Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4)(a); Wis. Admin. Code

§ EL 2.05(14). Those pages contain 880 elector signatures, all of which must be disregarded.<sup>1</sup>

42. Cumulatively, the false witness certifications invalidate 1517 elector signatures submitted by Respondents. Removing these invalid elector signatures from the total number of elector signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents leaves them short of the 2,000 signatures required by statute to place Mr. West and Ms. Tidball on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election.

**B. Some of Respondents' circulators provided false addresses, rendering their certifications invalid.**

43. Consistent with Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4) (as incorporated here by Wis. Stat. § 8.20(3)), each circulator provided "his or her residence with street and number at the bottom of each nomination paper" as part of the required circulator certification.

44. Multiple circulators who collected signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents included in their certifications invalid residential addresses.

45. Circulator Kenneth Linares certified that he lives at 15 Morgan Street, in Crystal Lake, Illinois. (*See* Aff. of Chris Myers.)

46. But the address provided by Mr. Linares is not a residential address. (*See* Aff. of Chris Myers.) To the contrary, that property is zoned for industrial use and cannot lawfully be used as a residence. (*Id.*) Moreover, a visit to the property demonstrates that no one currently resides there or has resided there in the recent past. (*Id.*)

47. Circulator Benjamin Rush, Jr. certified that he lives at 17922 Gothard Street in Huntington Beach, California. (*See* Aff. of Chris Myers.)

---

<sup>1</sup> This calculation is based on the number of signatures that appear on pages certified by circulators who misrepresentations are attested to by an affidavit. Complainants' counsel has had less than 48 hours to review the nomination papers submitted by Respondents. With more time and further inquiry, there is every reason to believe this number may increase.

48. But that address is not a residence. (*See* Aff. of Chris Myers.)

49. Circulator Joseph Durrell certified that he lives at 13142 Chrissy Way in Lakeside, California. (*See* Aff. of Chris Myers.)

50. But a review of publicly available materials demonstrates that Mr. Durrell does not reside in California and has not resided there recently. (*See* Aff. of Chris Myers.)

51. The violation of Wisconsin law by Mr. Linares, Mr. Rush, and Mr. Durrell in providing invalid addresses as part of their circulator certifications renders all signatures on each page of the nominating papers that they circulated invalid. Wis. Stat. § 8.15(4)(a); Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.05(14).

52. Cumulatively, the improper addresses in the circulator certifications of Mr. Linares, Mr. Rush, and Mr. Durrell invalidate 637 elector signatures submitted by Respondents. Removing these invalid elector signatures from the total number of elector signatures on the nomination papers submitted by Respondents leaves them short of the 2,000 signatures required by statute to place Mr. West and Ms. Tidball on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election.

**III. A large number of individual elector signatures on the nominating papers submitted by Respondents are invalid.**

53. Elector signatures on several pages of the nomination papers submitted by Respondents are invalid because the signatory: (1) provided an address other than his or her residence; (2) failed to provide necessary identifying information; or (3) signed nomination papers multiple times or with an obviously fake name. All of these invalid signatures must be stricken, which would reduce the total number of elector signatures submitted by Respondents by 380.

**A. Signatories provided an address other than their residence.**

54. Multiple signatories provided an address other than the address at which they are registered to vote.

55. In order for a signature to be valid, an elector must provide his or her municipality of residence for voting purposes and the street and number, if any, on which the signer resides. Wis. Stat. § 8.20(5). The information provided by the signatory must match the signatory's residence for voting purposes.

56. Where there is a disparity between the address listed on the nomination papers and the address at which an elector is registered to vote, the signature should be stricken.

57. Cumulatively, the number of elector signatures submitted by Respondents with an address disparity that should be stricken is 188. (*See* Aff. of Devin Remiker, ¶21; Exh. B (appendix).)

**B. Signatories failed to provide necessary identifying information.**

58. Multiple signatures are accompanied by an illegible printed name.

59. In order for a signature to be valid, an elector must legibly print his or her name in a space provided next to his or her signature. Wis. Stat. § 8.20(5).

60. The Wisconsin Elections Commission guidance provides that if a filing officer can discern no part of a printed name, it should be deemed illegible and the signature should not be counted. *Nomination Paper Standards and Review*, Government Accountability Board (April 10, 2014).

61. The analysis conducted by Dr. Linton A. Mohammed reveals that 187 signatures are invalid because the printed name is illegible. (Aff. of Linton Mohammed, Ph.D.)

62. Multiple signatures are not accompanied by the elector's municipality.

63. In order for a signature to be valid, an elector must provide his or her municipality of residence for voting purposes. Wis. Stat. § 8.20(5).

64. For example, Willie Honeycutt (page 404, line 2) and Mikaela Nardi (page 388,

line 8) do not provide proper municipalities.

65. There are 65 signatures for which there is not a proper listed municipality. (*See* Exh. B (appendix).)

66. Multiple signatures are not accompanied by a full date.

67. In order for a signature to be valid, an elector must include the date of signing. Wis. Stat. § 8.20(5).

68. For example, Bobby Jones (page 166, line 10) and Daniel Schmitt (page 219, line 8) do not provide a complete date.

69. There are 47 signatures for which there is not a proper date (and for whom the date is not bracketed). (*See* Exh. B (appendix).)

70. Only Wisconsin electors, not all individuals present in Wisconsin, are eligible to sign a nomination paper, and, in some circumstances, electors are barred from signing a nomination paper for a candidate based on the elector's residence. Wis. Stat. §§ 8.10(4), 8.15(3). Therefore, submission of accurate identifying information is necessary in order to validate elector signatures. Consequently, signatures without complete and accurate identifying information must be stricken.

71. Cumulatively, the number of elector signatures submitted by Respondents without complete and accurate identifying information that should be stricken is 299.

**C. Signatories signed nomination papers multiple times or with an obviously fake name.**

72. Several signatories signed the nomination papers with obviously fake names.

73. For example, two individual signature purport to be Kanye West, who is not a Wisconsin elector. (*See* page 11, line 8 and page 281, line 6). Another purports to be Bernie Sanders, also not a Wisconsin elector. (*See* page 314, line 3.) Additionally, one signature is for

Mickey Mouse. (*See* page 285, line 8.)

74. It is impossible to verify whether someone who provides a fake name is an elector who is eligible to sign a candidate's nomination papers. Therefore, all such signatures must be stricken.

75. Cumulatively, the number of elector signatures submitted by Respondents that are duplicates or that are obviously fake and should be stricken is 4.

### **CONCLUSION**

76. Accordingly, Respondents have not properly submitted nominating papers with the requisite number of signatures for Mr. West and Ms. Tidball to be placed on the ballot for the November 3, 2020 election.

77. This Verified complaint is made pursuant to Wis. Stat. §§ 5.05, 5.06 and Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.07.

**WHEREFORE**, Complaints respectfully request that the Wisconsin Elections Commission conduct any investigation it deems appropriate pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § EL 2.07(5), promptly hold a hearing on this matter to the extent it deems necessary, and ultimately rule that the nomination papers submitted by Respondents are legally insufficient for the reasons set forth in this Verified Complaint.

Dated this 7th day of August, 2020

By:

William Brent III  
4270 N. 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53216

Richard C. Hughes  
1130 N. Westfield Street  
Oshkosh, WI 54902

Keith Smith  
2121 N. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Apt. 320  
Milwaukee, WI 53212

Lauren Steven  
4373 N. 16<sup>th</sup> Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53209

Complaint prepared by:

STAFFORD ROSENBAUM LLP

Jeffrey A. Mandell

State Bar No. 1100406

Rachel E. Snyder

State Bar No. 1090427

*Attorneys for Complainant*

222 West Washington Avenue, Suite 900

Post Office Box 1784

Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1784

[jmandell@staffordlaw.com](mailto:jmandell@staffordlaw.com)

608.256.0226

### VERIFICATION


Lauren Stevens, being duly sworn, on oath, deposes and says:

7. That Lauren Stevens is an elector of Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.
8. That Lauren Stevens has read the foregoing Verified Complaint and that the same is true and correct, except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief or cited to affidavits of other identified individuals, as to which matters she believes them to be true.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 7th day of August 2020.

  
Complainant: Lauren Stevens

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 7th day of August, 2020.

  
Attorney Michael S. Maistelman  
State Bar No. 1024681  
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin  
My commission expires: Permanent





### VERIFICATION

Richard C. Hughes, being duly sworn, on oath, deposes and says:

1. That Richard C. Hughes is an elector of Winnebago County, Wisconsin.
2. That Richard C. Hughes has read the foregoing Verified Complaint and that the same is true and correct, except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief or cited to affidavits of other identified individuals, as to which matters he believes them to be true.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 7th day of August 2020.

Richard C Hughes

RICHARD C Hughes

Complainant name

Cynthia A. Birkett

Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires: 8/30/22



**VERIFICATION**

Keith Smith, being duly sworn, on oath, deposes and says:

5. That Keith Smith is an elector of Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.
6. That Keith Smith has read the foregoing Verified Complaint and that the same is true and correct, except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief or cited to affidavits of other identified individuals, as to which matters he believes them to be true.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 7th day of August 2020.

Keith L. Smith

Keith L. Smith

Complainant name

  
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires: upon death.

Day Urban

### VERIFICATION

William Brent III, being duly sworn, on oath, deposes and says:

3. That William Brent III is an elector of Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.
4. That William Brent III has read the foregoing Verified Complaint and that the same is true and correct, except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief or cited to affidavits of other identified individuals, as to which matters he believes them to be true.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 7th day of August 2020.

William Brent III

WILLIAM BRENT III

Complainant name

  
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires: upon death.

Jay Urban

STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF:  
NOMINATION PAPERS FILED BY KANYE WEST  
WITH RESPECT TO THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020  
ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

**AFFIDAVIT OF CHERREL PERNELL**

---

STATE OF WISCONSIN    )  
                                  )  
MILWAUKEE COUNTY    )

CHERREL PERNELL, being duly sworn, states as follows:

1.     I am over 18 years of age.
2.     I make this affidavit in reference to the above-captioned matter.
3.     I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to the truth of such matters.
4.     A couple days ago, I was at the Walmart on East Capitol in Milwaukee. As I got out of my car, people approached me with a clipboard.
5.     An African American woman wearing a facemask said they were out there making sure people were registered to vote, and asked me if I was registered to vote. I told her I am already registered.
6.     The woman then said I should still put my name and phone number on the sheet so they would have it on file that I'm registered. I told her I didn't want to put my email down,


and the woman said it was ok to just put my name.

7. I could not see the top of the form. The woman was adamant that I sign it. She knew I was in a rush, so she told me I should sign it and that'll be it.

8. When I got inside the store, I was approached by an African American man who asked me to sign the papers. I told him I'd already done so with a woman outside.

9. Then yesterday, August 6, I got a call telling me what I actually signed was papers to get Kayne West on the ballot. If I had known that, I wouldn't have signed the papers, absolutely not. Kayne West would not get my vote and I think it's a joke that he's running for President.

  
CHERREL PERNELL

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2020.  


Attorney Michael S. Maistelman  
State Bar No. 1024681  
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin  
My commission expires: Permanent



STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF:  
NOMINATION PAPERS FILED BY KANYE WEST  
WITH RESPECT TO THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020  
ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

**AFFIDAVIT OF WANDA THOMPSON**

---

STATE OF WISCONSIN    )  
                                  )  
MILWAUKEE COUNTY    )

WANDA THOMPSON, being duly sworn, states as follows:

1. I am over 18 years of age.
2. I make this affidavit in reference to the above-captioned matter.
3. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to the truth of such matters.
4. I made a trip to Wal-Mart to pick up a package and as I was getting out of my car, I was approached by a young man who asked if I would be willing to sign a petition, either if I wanted Kanye West to run for President or for him to be on the ballot. He was a tall white guy, in his forties. I don't remember exactly what day it was but it was evening, between 4 and 5 PM. I said no I would never vote for him for President. Once I let him know I wasn't interested in anything related to Kanye West, his response was, if you just sign, I get a dollar for every signature and I'm trying to get at least \$150 because that's

what I get paid. I said if you're telling the truth to me, then I will sign. After that I went on to the store to pick up my package.

If he hadn't told me he was getting paid per signature, I would never have signed this nomination paper.

*Wanda Thompson*  
WANDA THOMPSON

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2020.

*[Signature]*  
Attorney Michael S. Maistelman  
State Bar No. 1024681  
Notary Public, State of WISCONSIN  
My commission expires: Permanent



STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF:  
NOMINATION PAPERS FILED BY KANYE WEST  
WITH RESPECT TO THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020  
ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

**AFFIDAVIT OF CURLIE CARTER**

---

STATE OF WISCONSIN    )  
MILWAUKEE COUNTY    )

CURLIE CARTER, being duly sworn, states as follows:

1.     I am over 18 years of age.
2.     I make this affidavit in reference to the above-captioned matter.
3.     I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to the truth of such matters.
4.     On Wednesday, August 5th, at around 9:45 AM, I was approached in the Walmart parking lot at 401 East Capitol Drive in Milwaukee by an individual asking me to sign a form.
5.     The individual was a heavier-set, light brown complexioned woman, about 5' 9" tall, and did not give her name.
6.     She mentioned that the papers were related to voting but did not share any details beyond that.



7. She gave me the form to sign and did not show me the top of the form.
8. She just said "sign here" and got busy asking other people to sign the form.
9. Because of this, I felt incredibly rushed through the process to sign the form.
10. I then signed the form without knowing its purpose was to put Kanye West on the ballot for President in Wisconsin.
11. Had I known that these papers were being used to put Kanye West on the ballot for President in Wisconsin, I never would have signed them.
12. I would not have signed them, not because he is black or male, but because he is a Trump supporter. I have been a Democrat for the past 70 years.
13. I do not think Kanye West should run for President and I would never support him or vote for him.

*Curlie Carter*

Curlie Carter

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2020.

*[Signature]*  
Attorney Michael S. Maistelman  
State Bar No. 1024681  
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin  
My commission expires: Permanent



STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF:  
NOMINATION PAPERS FILED BY KANYE WEST  
WITH RESPECT TO THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020  
ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

**AFFIDAVIT OF DEVIN REMIKER**

---

STATE OF WISCONSIN

DANE COUNTY

Devin Remiker, being duly sworn, states as follows:

1. I am over 18 years of age.
2. I make this affidavit in reference to the above-captioned matter.
3. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and, if called as a witness,

I could and would testify competently as to the truth of such matters.

4. On August 3, 2020, I saw a news segment on WISN TV discussing Kanye West's attempts to collect signatures to get on the ballot in the November Presidential election. In that segment, I noticed potential deficiencies in the petition pages.

5. On August 4, 2020, I called the Wisconsin Elections Commission ("WEC") to see if Mr. West or his representative had turned in the necessary papers for ballot access. I spoke to a WEC staff member, whose name I believe to be Riley, and asked if Mr. West had turned in signatures and if they would be available for review. I inferred, based on his response, that the signatures or his declaration of candidacy had not yet been submitted.

6. Later that day, I went to the WEC at 212 E. Washington Avenue, 3rd floor,

Madison, WI, 53707 to obtain copies of independent party petition signatures as soon as they became publicly available.

7. I arrived at WEC at approximately 3:30 p.m. As I drove past the building to the parking lot, I saw what appeared to be a reporter and a cameraman filming a conversation with a gentleman wearing a green t-shirt and holding stacks of papers which I assumed to be nomination papers.

8. I parked on a street around the corner from the building and went to the building's entrance. When I got there, the man in the green t-shirt was no longer there. The reporter, who I identified as Matt Smith from WISN along with a cameraman, was standing outside the building. I recognized Matt Smith from the August 3rd reporting I had seen about Mr. West's attempts to collect petition signatures.

9. I had a casual conversation with Mr. Smith in which he indicated that he did not believe that Mr. West's signatures had arrived. His belief was informed by a conversation he had with one of Mr. West's canvassers who said that they planned to collect signatures until 3 p.m. in Milwaukee that day, August 4, and by his observations while outside of the WEC building. Mr. Smith also told me that the man in the green t-shirt was submitting signatures on behalf of a different independent candidate.

10. I proceeded to wait outside the WEC building. At approximately 4:55 p.m., a car approached and parked on the street in front of the building. A woman got out of the passenger side of the car and approached the building. She approached the door and then returned to her vehicle.

11. I then began recording video on my iPhone 11 Pro. Due to the closeness of the approaching 5:00 p.m. deadline, I felt it important to record what I was witnessing.

12. A true and correct recording of that video is attached as Exhibit A to this affidavit.

13. The woman remained in her vehicle and appeared to be moving around documents in her car with the car's driver.

14. The woman remained in her car until after 5:00 p.m. I know this to be true as I brought my Apple Watch into the frame of my video to show that she was still in her car at 5:00 p.m. which appears at the 3:00 minute mark in the video. Further, at the 3:03 mark on the video, the WISN cameraman says "it is 5 o'clock."

15. At that point, which was at least several seconds after 5:00 p.m., the woman exited the car and walked into the building. A second woman exited the driver's side of the car carrying papers in a folder and entered the building after the first woman.

16. I stopped recording and followed the two women into the building as did Mr. Smith and the WISN cameraman.

17. The two women appeared to go into an elevator as they had crossed the lobby and gone down a short hallway to the elevators.

18. Mr. Smith has reported that these women submitted to the WEC the nomination papers on behalf of The Birthday Party that are at issue in this matter. Mr. Smith has also publicly reported that the papers were filed after the statutory deadline. See <https://www.wisn.com/article/kanye-wests-campaign-drops-off-signatures-to-get-him-on-wisconsin-ballot/33515419>; <https://twitter.com/shooter412/status/1290784680837632003?s=20>.

19. I have worked with the Wisconsin voter file since 2012 in my professional capacity. I have firsthand knowledge of how the voter file is purchased and used and of the integrity of its data.

20. I am the person at the Democratic Party of Wisconsin responsible for purchasing

the voter file through badgervoters.wi.gov. I last purchased an update to the voter file on July 24, 2020. That file was sent to be uploaded and incorporated into the Party's Votebuilder software. Votebuilder is the Party's voter contact software. The updated voter file information was operational in Votebuilder on Tuesday, August 4, 2020.

21. This updated voter file information was used to verify the validity of the residences of signatories on the nomination papers submitted to the WEC on behalf of The Birthday Party. That verification effort indicated that 188 of the signatories are registered to vote at an address other than the one shown next to their signatures on the nomination papers at issue here.

22. On August 6 and 7, 2020, I supervised an effort to contact all individuals who had signed the nomination papers submitted to the WEC on behalf of The Birthday Party. Of those individuals reached, more than 100 asserted that they had not knowingly signed nomination papers for Mr. West and that they have been assured their signatures were in support of other, non-electoral efforts.



Devin Remiker

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 7<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2020.



Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires: 5-18-2024

KARYN A. HALVERSON  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
STATE OF WISCONSIN

**A. STATE OF WISCONSIN**

BEFORE THE WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

---

IN THE MATTER OF:  
NOMINATION PAPERS FILED BY KANYE WEST  
WITH RESPECT TO THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020  
ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

**AFFIDAVIT OF LINTON A. MOHAMMED, PH.D.**

---

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SAN MATEO COUNTY

Linton A. Mohammed, being first duly sworn on oath, states as follows:

1. I am a U.S.-certified and internationally recognized Forensic Document Examiner (FDE), and the focus of my research and professional experience is on handwriting and signature identification and the scientific approach to analyzing questioned signatures. I am, and since 1998 continuously have been, certified by the American Board of Forensic Document Examiners (ABFDE), a certifying board for FDEs in North America. I am also certified in document examination by the Chartered

Society of Forensic Sciences (United Kingdom). I specialize in the forensic science of analyzing genuine, disguised, and simulated signatures.

2. I co-founded and I am currently the principal at Forensic Science Consultants, Inc., where I conduct forensic document examination casework and research on handwriting and signature examination, as well as other forensic document examination (e.g. document alternations, obliterations, indented impressions, or pages added or removed). I am also an adjunct professor at Oklahoma State University, where I teach graduate courses on the scientific examination of questioned documents.

3. During and prior to my time with Forensic Science Consultants, Inc., and for nearly fourteen years, I worked as Forensic Document Examiner and Senior Document Examiner for the San Diego Sheriff's Department Regional Crime Laboratory. There, I conducted examinations of signatures and handwriting for cases investigated by San Diego County agencies, as well as by local police, state, and federal agencies. I also served as Technical Lead of the Questioned Documents Section of the Regional Crime Laboratory, trained investigators and attorneys, provided expert testimony, conducted research, and produced the Questioned Documents Section Quality Manual. Prior to that, I worked internationally as an FDE at the Laboratory of the Government Chemist

(England), the Caribbean Institute of Forensic Investigations Ltd. (West Indies), and the Trinidad and Tobago Forensic Science Center (West Indies). In those roles, I conducted forensic document examinations and testified in criminal and civil cases for multiple police forces and other government agencies.

4. I am a Fellow of the Questioned Documents Section of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences (AAFS), a Fellow and diplomat of the Chartered Society of Forensic Sciences, and a member of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science. I served as the Chair of the AAFS Questioned Documents Section from 2016 to 2018. I am an appointed member and Vice Chair of the Academy Standards Board, which was formed by the AAFS to develop documentary standards for the forensic sciences. I served as a member of the National Institute of Standards and Technology's Expert Working Group on Human Factors in Handwriting Examination, the National Institute of Standards and Technology Organization of Scientific Area Committee's Physics/Pattern Interpretation Scientific Area Committee, and the Scientific Working Group on Documents. I have previously served as President, Vice President, Treasurer, and Director of the American Society of Questioned Document Examiners (ASQDE).



5. I am the editor of the Journal of the American Society of Questioned Document Examiners. I served on the editorial review board of Forensic Science and Technology (2015-2020), and I served on the editorial review board of the Journal of Forensic Sciences from 2005-2020. I am also a guest reviewer for the following journals: Forensic Science International, Science & Justice, Australian Journal of Forensic Science, Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences, and IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics.

6. I have published sixteen articles on signature and handwriting examination and forensic document examination. Many of my articles focus on the analysis of genuine and forged signatures and handwriting examination. I have also given numerous presentations and workshops on signature and document examination worldwide, including the United States, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Latvia, Poland, Portugal, Saudi Arabia, Scotland, and Turkey.

7. In 2019, I authored a book titled, *Forensic Examination of Signatures*, which describes and discusses state of the art techniques and research in signature examination.<sup>1</sup> I co-authored a book in 2012 titled *The Neuroscience of Handwriting*:

---

<sup>1</sup> Mohammed, L. (2019). *Forensic Examination of Signatures*. San Diego: Elsevier.

*Applications for Forensic Document Examination*, which integrates research in the fields of motor control, neuroscience, kinematics, and robotics to evaluate questioned signatures and handwriting.<sup>2</sup> The book sets forth, among other things, the scientific fundamentals of motor control as relevant to handwriting; the impact of age, disease, and medication on handwriting; and a quantitative approach to signature authentication, including kinematic and laboratory analyses of genuine versus disguised versus forged signatures.

8. In 2012, I received the American Board of Forensic Document Examiners' New Horizon Award "In Recognition of His Exceptional Contributions in Scientific Research for the Advancement of Forensic Document Examination." In 2019, I received the American Academy of Forensic Sciences Questioned Documents Section Ordway Hilton Award "In Recognition of Outstanding Contributions to Forensic Document Examination."

9. I have testified as an expert witness in court and depositions more than 150 times on issues of signature, handwriting, and document examination in both civil and

---

<sup>2</sup> Caligiuri, M.P., & Mohammed, L.A. (2012). *The Neuroscience of Handwriting: Applications for Forensic Document Examination*. Boca Raton: CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group.

criminal cases in the United States, England, Trinidad & Tobago, and St. Vincent.

10. I received a Ph.D. from La Trobe University in Melbourne, Australia in human biosciences, where I wrote my thesis on signature examination: "*Elucidating static and dynamic features to discriminate between signature disguise and signature forgery behavior.*" Prior to that, I received my undergraduate degree in science at the University of West Indies; underwent a two-year training program in document examination at the Trinidad and Tobago Forensic Science Center; and received a master's degree in forensic sciences at National University in San Diego, California.

11. I have been engaged in the above-captioned matter to review and analyze elector and circulator signatures and other handwritten information included on the nomination papers filed by Kanye West.

12. I am being compensated at a rate of \$400.00 per hour. My compensation in this matter is not in any way contingent on the content of my opinion or the outcome of this matter.

13. I reviewed the elector signatures on the nomination papers for legibility based on the following standard: A majority of all of the allographs must be legible. The reader should be able to make at least a reasonable guess as to what the elector's name is.

14. There are signature lines where the elector signed in the printed name area and printed in the signature area. I reviewed those lines for legibility according to the same standard. In some cases, the full name was not written out.

15. Several entries were either written over with a line, scribble, or cross-mark. I did not evaluate those entries.

16. It is my opinion that the 187 entries shown in the table attached as Exhibit A are illegible.

08/07/2020  
Date

Linton A. Mohammed  
Linton A. Mohammed

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 7th day of August, 2020.

PEREZ DENSHAW ZACH

Notary Public, State of California

SEE ATTACHED PAGE.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California  
County of San Mateo

On 7TH AUGUST 20 before me, PERVEZ DINSHAW ZACK (NOTARY PUBLIC)  
(insert name and title of the officer)

personally appeared LINTON MOHAMMED,  
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are  
subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in  
his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the  
person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.


I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing  
paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature










(Seal)











**EXHIBIT A**










NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
1	3	1	1	<u>Chude Ganga</u>
2	3	1	6	<u>Niyennu</u>
3	3	1	9	<u>D. J. O'Brien</u>
4	4	2	7	<u>Gabriel R.</u>
5	4	2	9	<u>Michael McPherson</u>
6	5	3	2	<u>James H. Crawford</u>
7	5	3	9	<u>Cedrick H.</u>
8	6	4	10	<u>James H. Crawford</u>
9	10	8	10	<u>James H. Crawford</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
10	11	9	7	 Daniel Jordan
11	11	9	8	 Daniel Jordan
12	12	10	9	 Klonick
13	13	11	3	 Laurie
14	13	11	8	 John
15	14	12	1	 H. W. M. H. K. P.
16	24	22	6	 Jay

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
17	37	35	6	<u>Lurithy</u>
18	38	36	2	<u>Laurice Henry</u> <u>Dalbourn</u>
19	38	36	3	<u>Twian P.</u>
20	38	36	4	<u>Breanna C.</u>
21	39	37	2	<u>Kamatha</u>
22	39	37	3	<u>Hagoo</u>
23	51	41	4	<u>Michael Tule</u>
24	51	41	5	<u>Duplex</u>
25	51	41	7	<u>Curtis B. Lee</u>



NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
26	51	41	8	 Paul Kulis
27	51	41	9	 Edouard Ntsho
28	53	51	4	 Vanessa Sule
29	58	56	6	 Ulysses Grant
30	60	58	9	 Darrin Carr
31	61	59	10	 William
32	62	60	3	 Mercedes
33	64	62	3	 Carl Hays

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
34	66	64	3	 Tim B. Blum
35	67	65	9	 Mike
36	70	68	1	 Don Decker
37	71	69	3	 Paul Choi
38	74	72	3	 Adam Carter
39	79	77	2	 Andrew Dore
40	84	82	10	 Eric
41	91	89	2	 Beerman
42	110	108	2	 Johnny M. Kulkarni

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
43	114	112	6	<u>Itero Wally</u>
44	120	118	4	<u>Jimma Jimma Jimma Jimma</u>
45	130	128	10	<u>Chen McF</u>
46	133	131	2	<u>Tom Gault</u>
47	135	133	3	<u>Courtney Tom</u>
48	136	134	4	<u>Jimmy Morley</u>
49	136	134	8	<u>Lucas Fusan</u>
50	139	137	8	<u>White M. 115</u>
51	144	142	10	<u>E. Lezeck. V. 19</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
52	146	144	2	<u>Demetrius White</u>
53	146	144	4	<u>Markio M. Smith</u>
54	148	146	6	<u>Sean J. Smith</u>   <u>Sean J. Smith</u>
55	150	148	3	<u>3. Janelle Monelle</u>   <u>McManus</u>
56	151	149	2	<u>Alfred Lee</u>   <u>Alfred Lee</u>
57	151	149	9	<u>9. Christopher</u>   <u>Christopher</u>
58	152	150	5	<u>Salvador H.</u>
59	152	150	6	<u>Kerelous F.</u>   <u>Kerelous F.</u>
60	156	154	3	<u>Mey Bonfante</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
61	159	157	6	<u>6. Andrew Spataro</u> <u>ANDREW SPATARO</u>
62	162	160	7	<u>Cassanher Mize</u>
63	163	161	8	<u>Apolonio Jr.</u>
64	163	161	9	<u>Josh Hoot</u>
65	164	162	1	<u>Reinos Piri</u>
66	164	162	2	<u>Rustin Jhon</u>
67	165	163	2	<u>Victoria Qui</u>
68	165	163	4	<u>Heidi Ed. B.</u>
69	167	165	2	<u>Alvin Jasson</u>
70	170	168	9	<u>Delegacion</u>
71	173	171	1	<u>Edli Hoot E.D. DiE</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR	
72	180	178	6	<u>Zellan Rawson</u>	
73	183	181	1	<u>Stelany Z.</u>	
74	186	184	4	<u>LIFE Book</u>	
75	187	185	3	<u>Susan</u>	
76	187	185	4	<u>Mildred Cobb</u>	
77	188	186	2	<u>Kathryn Korovick</u>	
78	188	186	3	<u>Mary Jane</u>	<u>Mary Jane</u>
			4.	<u>Mary Jane</u>	
79	193	191	5	<u>Naila G. G. G.</u>	
80	196	194	2	<u>Donna D.</u>	

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
81	197	195	10	<u>Wendy Chan</u>
82	198	196	1	<u>Krystle</u>
83	215	213	8	<u>Cadence Gordon</u>
84	218	216	5	<u>Kevin Dillon</u>
85	219	217	2	<u>Jason Vinciguera</u>
86	222	220	7	<u>Amie M. [unclear]</u>
87	224	222	4	<u>Janissal</u>
88	224	222	5	<u>Maronte</u>
89	224	222	6	<u>Earnest</u> <u>Morgan</u>
			7.	

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
90	226	224	2	<u>Dyanira</u>
91	226	224	6	<u>E. Turner</u>
92	226	224	7	<u>C. Figueroa</u>
93	228	226	1	<u>Terrence</u>
94	230	228	10	<u>Charles Warner</u>
94	238	236	10	<u>Eleusab</u>
96	239	237	3	<u>CHARLES</u>
97	239	237	4	<u>Jasmine</u>
98	239	237	5	<u>Richard</u>
99	240	238	3	<u>Brandon</u>






















NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
100	243	241	8	<u>Mahe J. J. M.</u>
101	250	248	5	<u>Alex S. J. M.</u>   <u>Uly J. M.</u>
102	252	250	4	<u>SHIMMIE</u>
103	253	251	6	<u>Wina M</u>
104	253	251	8	<u>Keith</u>
105	254	252	3	<sup>3.</sup> <u>Nicole</u>   <u>PRUITT</u>
106	254	252	4	<sup>4.</sup> <u>William</u>   <u>Pratt</u>
107	261	259	4	<u>Seun</u>   <u>HUNTER</u> <u>HUNTER</u>
108	263	251	7	<u>Tenonhye</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
109	264	262	2	<u>Dorley Meyer</u>
110	269	267	6	6. <u>Glenn</u>   <u>Glenn Mark</u>
111	270	268	1	1. <u>Francisco</u>
112	273	271	10	<u>Temmy Winder</u>
113	276	274	10	<u>Don Smith</u>
114	277	275	2	<u>Ered Baker</u>
115	278	276	5	<u>Arvile</u>
116	278	276	10	<u>Marcus Andrew</u>
117	279	277	3	<u>Dan Paj</u>
118	282	280	4	<u>Edwin Klovett</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
119	282	280	8	<u>Wynne R. R.</u>
120	284	282	5	<u>Trey</u>   <u>Jhoson</u>
121	284	282	6	<u>Jaylen Johnson</u>   <u>Jhoson</u>
123	285	283	10	<u>Tammy R.</u>
124	286	284	10	<u>Adi AC</u>
125	287	285	6	<u>Christina</u> <u>Anderson</u>
126	293	291	4	<u>Shantae</u>
127	293	291	6	<u>LeRoy Johnson</u>
128	294	292	6	<u>Nate VanWort</u>
129	295	293	4	<u>Tyneshia D.</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR	
130	295	293	10	<u>Dewynelle</u>	
131	298	296	3	<u>Connie</u>	<u>Connie</u>
132	301	299	6	<sup>6</sup> <u>Katherine</u>	<u>Katherine</u>
133	301	299	7	<sup>6</sup> <u>Cyrene</u>	<u>Cyrene</u>
134	301	299	9	<u>Edna</u>	
135	301	299	10	<sup>10</sup> <u>Willie</u>	<u>Willie</u>
136	303	301	6	<sup>6</sup> <u>Danica</u>	<u>Danica</u>
137	304	302	3	<u>Christina</u>	
138	304	302	9	<u>Nestor</u>	

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
139	306	304	9	
140	306	304	10	Page Dreyunna Page Coleman
141	307		4	
142	309	306	7	
143	311	308	10	Jase P. Maldonado
144	313	310	2	<sup>2.</sup> Xaionde Grant
145	315	312	8	Frank Maseman
146	317	314	5	<sup>5.</sup> (Deperry) 
147	317	314	6	



NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
148	318	315	10	   
149	320	317	4	
150	320	317	6	   
151	332	330	3	
152	346	344	3	
153	346	344	8	
154	348	346	10	
155	351	349	1	   
156	351	349	2	
157	352	350	6	   

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
158	356	354	1	<u>Father Henry</u>
159	356	354	6	<u>Da Sena Cruz</u>
160	358	356	2	<u>Amanda C. Cerezo</u>
161	360	358	2	<u>Julia V. V.</u>
162	361	359	2	<u>ARTIST AND ART SPACE</u>
163	364	362	5	<u>NYA M. M. M.</u>
164	368	366	3	<u>Maria S. D. L.</u>
165	369	367	6	<u>My Child M. M.</u>
166	371	369	6	<u>Cheryl A. A.</u>
167	372	370	6	<u>L. G. G.</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
168	372	370	10	<u>Kimberly E</u>   <u>Kimberly E</u>
169	378	331	9	<u>James C. Smith</u>
170	380	332	1	<u>Richard G. Smith</u>
171	380	333	2	<u>James C. Smith</u>
172	382	335	9	<u>James C. Smith</u>   <u>JAMES C</u> <u>LEXISHA KIMBRON</u>   <u>S35</u>
173	383	336	1	<u>James C. Smith</u>
173	386	339	3	<u>James C. Smith</u>   <u>James C. Smith</u>
175	386	339	6	<u>James C. Smith</u>   <u>James C. Smith</u>   <u>15</u> <u>James C. Smith</u>
176	388	341	5	<u>James C. Smith</u>   <u>James C. Smith</u>



NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
177	389	342	6	<u>Katherine Klees</u>
178	393	346	3	<u>[Signature]</u>
179	395	348	1	<u>ICWARES</u> <u>CLAYTON</u>
180	409	362	1	<u>Stephanie</u> <u>[Signature]</u>
181	413	366	4	<u>Clifford M.</u> <u>Clifford M.</u>
182	413	366	6	<u>[Signature]</u> <u>Shamir</u>
183	413	366	9	<u>Young Z. [Signature]</u>
184	416	369	1	<u>Red Vibbert</u>
185	416	369	2	<u>Dejanira R.</u>
186	420	373	9	<u>Breanna</u> <u>Breanna M.</u>

NO.	PDF #	PAGE #	LINE #	SIGNATURE OF ELECTOR   PRINTED NAME OF ELECTOR
187	420	373	10	<div>10.9</div> <div>   </div>